

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP  
Stephen Karotkin (*pro hac vice*)  
(stephen.karotkin@weil.com)  
Ray C. Schrock, P.C. (*pro hac vice*)  
(ray.schrock@weil.com)  
Jessica Liou (*pro hac vice*)  
(jessica.liou@weil.com)  
Matthew Goren (*pro hac vice*)  
(matthew.goren@weil.com)  
767 Fifth Avenue  
New York, NY 10153-0119  
Tel: 212 310 8000  
Fax: 212 310 8007

KELLER BENVENUTTI KIM LLP  
Tobias S. Keller (#151445)  
(tkeller@kbbkllp.com)  
Peter J. Benvenutti (#60566)  
(pbenvenutt@kbbkllp.com)  
Jane Kim (#298192)  
(jkim@kbbkllp.com)  
650 California Street, Suite 1900  
San Francisco, CA 94108  
Tel: 415 496 6723  
Fax: 650 636 9251

*Attorneys for Debtors  
and Debtors in Possession*

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

**THIS OBJECTION SEEKS TO DISALLOW  
AND EXPUNGE CERTAIN CLAIMS.  
CLAIMANTS RECEIVING THIS  
OBJECTION SHOULD LOCATE THEIR  
NAMES AND CLAIMS ON THE EXHIBIT 1  
ATTACHED TO THIS OBJECTION.**

**IF YOU HAVE QUESTIONS, PLEASE  
CONTACT PRIME CLERK, LLC,  
AT (844) 339-4217.**

**In re:**

**PG&E CORPORATION,**

**- and -**

**PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC  
COMPANY,**

**Debtors.**

- ☐ Affects PG&E Corporation  
☐ Affects Pacific Gas and Electric  
Company  
☒ Affects both Debtors

*\* All papers shall be filed in the Lead Case,  
No. 19-30088 (DM).*

Bankruptcy Case No. 19-30088 (DM)

Chapter 11

(Lead Case) (Jointly Administered)

**DEBTORS' FIRST OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO  
CLAIMS (Duplicative, Amended and Wrong Case  
Claims)**

**(THE "FIRST DUPLICATE CLAIMS OMNIBUS  
OBJECTION")**

[Pursuant to B.L.R. 9014-1, no hearing as to any  
specific Proof of Claim unless timely Response filed]

Date: June 24, 2020

Time: 10:00 a.m. (Pacific Time)

Place: United States Bankruptcy Court  
(Telephonic Appearances Only)

Courtroom 17, 16th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94102

1 **TO: (A) THE HONORABLE DENNIS MONTALI, UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY**  
2 **JUDGE; (B) THE OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRUSTEE; (C) THE AFFECTED**  
3 **CLAIMANTS; AND (D) OTHER PARTIES ENTITLED TO NOTICE:**

4 PG&E Corporation (“**PG&E Corp.**”) and Pacific Gas and Electric Company (the “**Utility**”), as  
5 debtors and debtors in possession (collectively, “**PG&E**” or the “**Debtors**”) in the above-captioned  
6 chapter 11 cases (the “**Chapter 11 Cases**”) hereby submit this omnibus objection (“**Objection**”) to the  
7 Proofs of Claim (as defined below) identified in the column headed “Claims To Be Disallowed and  
8 Expunged” on **Exhibit 1** annexed hereto.

9 **I. JURISDICTION**

10 This Court has jurisdiction over this Objection under 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. This matter is  
11 a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b). Venue is proper before this Court pursuant to 28  
12 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. The statutory predicates for the relief requested are section 502 of Title 11 of  
13 the United States Code (the “**Bankruptcy Code**”) and Rule 3007 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy  
14 Procedure (collectively, the “**Bankruptcy Rules**”).

15 **II. BACKGROUND**

16 On January 29, 2019 (the “**Petition Date**”), the Debtors commenced with the Court voluntary  
17 cases under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. The Debtors continue to operate their businesses and  
18 manage their properties as debtors in possession pursuant to sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy  
19 Code. No trustee or examiner has been appointed in either of the Chapter 11 Cases. The Debtors’  
20 Chapter 11 Cases are being jointly administered for procedural purposes only pursuant to Bankruptcy  
21 Rule 1015(b).

22 On February 12, 2019, the United States Trustee (the “**U.S. Trustee**”) appointed an Official  
23 Committee of Unsecured Creditors (the “**Creditors Committee**”). On February 15, 2019, the U.S.  
24 Trustee appointed an Official Committee of Tort Claimants (the “**Tort Claimants Committee**” and,  
25 together with the Creditors Committee, the “**Committees**”).

26 Additional information regarding the circumstances leading to the commencement of the Chapter  
27 11 Cases and information regarding the Debtors’ businesses and capital structure is set forth in the  
28 *Amended Declaration of Jason P. Wells in Support of the First Day Motions and Related Relief* [Docket  
No. 263].

On July 1, 2019, the Court entered the *Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 502(b)(9) and 105(a), Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002, 3003(c)(3), 5005, and 9007, and L.B.R. 3003-1 (I) Establishing Deadline for Filing Proofs of Claim, (II) Establishing the Form and Manner of Notice Thereof, and (III) Approving Procedures for Providing Notice of Bar Date and Other Information to All Creditors and Potential Creditors* [Docket No. 2806] (the “**Bar Date Order**”). The Bar Date Order set the deadline to file all proofs of claim (each, a “**Proof of Claim**”) in respect of any prepetition claim (as defined in section 101(5) of the Bankruptcy Code), including all claims of Fire Claimants (as defined therein), Wildfire Subrogation Claimants (as defined therein), Governmental Units (as defined in section 101(27) of the Bankruptcy Code), and Customers, and for the avoidance of doubt, including all secured claims and priority claims, against either of the Debtors as October 21, 2019 at 5:00 p.m. Pacific Time (the “**Bar Date**”). The Bar Date later was extended solely with respect to unfiled, non-governmental Fire Claimants to December 31, 2019 [Docket No. 4672]<sup>1</sup>; and subsequently with respect to certain claimants that purchased or acquired the Debtors’ publicly held debt and equity securities and may have claims against the Debtors for recession or damages to April 16, 2020 [Docket No. 5943].

### III. RELIEF REQUESTED

The Debtors file this Objection pursuant to section 502 of the Bankruptcy Code, Bankruptcy Rule 3007, and Bankruptcy Local Rule 3007-1, seeking entry of an order disallowing Proofs of Claim that duplicate others already submitted in these Chapter 11 Cases (referred to hereafter as either the “**Duplicate Claims**” or the “**Objected-To Claims**”). The Duplicate Claims and the Proofs of Claims of which they are duplicative (the “**Surviving Claims**”) are both identified on Exhibit 1, in the columns headed “Claims To Be Disallowed and Expunged” and “Surviving Claims,” respectively.

The Objected-To Claims fall into one of three categories, identified as such in the column on Exhibit 1 headed “Basis for Objection”:

---

<sup>1</sup> The claims of Fire Claimants will be administered through the Fire Victim Trust and the claims of Wildfire Subrogation Claimants through the Subrogation Wildfire Trust, as laid out in the *Debtors’ and Shareholder Proponents’ Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization Dated March 16, 2020* [Docket No. 6320] (the “**Plan**”) and the *Disclosure Statement for the Debtors’ and Shareholder Proponents’ Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization* [Docket No. 6353].

- “**Amended & Replaced Claims**” means the Objected-To Claim was superseded by a subsequently filed Proof of Claim that expresses that intention either on the face of or in the attachments to the later-filed Proof of Claim;
- “**Duplicative**” means that, after the Objected-To Claim was filed, the Surviving Claim was filed against the same Debtor on account of the same asserted obligation, but the Surviving Claim does not express an intention to amend and supersede the Objected-To Proof of Claim; and
- “**Wrong Case**” means that the Objected-To Claim was filed in the Chapter 11 Case of the wrong Debtor, while the Surviving Claim was filed in the Chapter 11 Case of the correct Debtor.

The Debtors request that the Duplicate Claims be disallowed and expunged. The Objection does not affect any of the Surviving Claims. There is a Surviving Claim for each underlying claim asserted against the Debtors by a Duplicate Claim that is the subject of this Objection.<sup>2</sup> Based on the current state of their review of Proofs of Claim, the Debtors do not currently expect to assert other grounds for objection against the Surviving Claims, with the caveat that the Debtors’ claims review is ongoing and the Debtors therefore reserve the right to assert in the future additional grounds for objection to Surviving Claims, and this statement does not constitute an admission or agreement by the Debtors that any Surviving Claims should be allowed.

The Debtors will, by separate administrative motion, request relief from the requirement of Bankruptcy Local Rule 3007-1(a) to file a copy of each Proof of Claim that is an Objected-To Claim. If the Court denies that request, the Debtors will promptly file copies of all such Proofs of Claim.

#### IV. ARGUMENT

##### A. The Duplicate Claims Should be Disallowed

Bankruptcy Rules 3007(d) and (e) govern omnibus objections to claims. Pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 3007(d)(1), objections to more than one claim may be joined if the objections are based solely on

---

<sup>2</sup> In some instances the same Surviving Claim appears in multiple entries in the “Surviving Claims” column on **Exhibit 1**. The multiple entries mean that there were multiple Duplicate Claims filed with respect to the same underlying obligation, and the identified Surviving Claim listed in multiple entries is the sole remaining Proof of Claim for all of the corresponding Objected-To Claims listed in the “Claims To Be Disallowed and Expunged” column.

1 the grounds that the claims should be disallowed, in whole or in part, because they duplicate other claims,  
2 or have been amended, or were filed in an incorrect case. Bankruptcy Rule 3007(e) requires that an  
3 omnibus objection must list the claimants alphabetically and by cross-reference to claim numbers. Each  
4 of the Duplicate Claims identified on **Exhibit 1** hereto duplicates another claim, which the Debtors have  
5 referenced, with further explanation describing the specific nature of the duplication. Each of the  
6 Claimants is listed alphabetically, and the claim number and amount are identified in accordance with  
7 Bankruptcy Rule 3007(e). The Debtors request that the Court disallow the Duplicate Claims in their  
8 entirety. For the avoidance of doubt, the Surviving Claims are not affected by this Objection.

9 The Debtors and their professionals have reviewed the Duplicate Claims, all documents furnished  
10 by the claimants with respect to the Duplicate Claims, and the Debtors' books and records, and have  
11 determined that each Duplicate Claim is duplicative of the applicable Surviving Claim because the  
12 Duplicate Claim: (a) is obsolete because the Claimant has filed an amended claim (Amended &  
13 Replaced Claims); (b), is identical to another Proof of Claim filed by the same Claimant in the same  
14 Chapter 11 Case (Duplicative); or (c) is identical to a Proof of Claim filed in the other Debtor's Chapter  
15 11 Case (Wrong Case). To avoid multiple recoveries by the same Claimant on the same obligation, the  
16 Debtors request that the Court disallow and expunge in their entirety the Duplicate Claims. The  
17 Surviving Claims will remain on the claims register, subject to pending and further objections.

18 **B. The Claimants Bear the Burden of Proof as to the Duplicate Claims**

19 A filed proof of claim is "deemed allowed, unless a party in interest . . . objects." 11 U.S.C.  
20 § 502(a). Section 502(b)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code, however, provides in relevant part that a claim  
21 may not be allowed if "such claim is unenforceable against the debtor and property of the debtor, under  
22 any agreement or applicable law." 11 U.S.C. § 502(b)(1). Once the objector raises "facts tending to  
23 defeat the claim by probative force equal to that of the allegations of the proofs of claim themselves,"  
24 *Wright v. Holm (In re Holm)*, 931 F.2d 620, 623 (9th Cir. 1991), quoting 3 L. King, *Collier on*  
25 *Bankruptcy* § 502.02 at 502-22 (15th ed. 1991), then "the burden reverts to the claimant to prove the  
26 validity of the claim by a preponderance of the evidence," *Ashford v. Consolidated Pioneer Mortgage*  
27 *(In re Consolidated Pioneer Mortgage)* 178 B.R. 222, 226 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1995) (quoting *In re*  
28 *Allegheny Int'l, Inc.*, 954 F.2d 167, 173-74 (3d Cir. 1992)), *aff'd without opinion* 91 F.3d 151 (9th Cir.

1 1996). “[T]he ultimate burden of persuasion is always on the claimant.” *Holm*, 931 F.2d at 623 (quoting  
2 King, *Collier on Bankruptcy*); see also *Lundell v. Anchor Constr. Specialists, Inc.*, 223 F.3d 1035, 1039  
3 (9th Cir. 2000), *Spencer v. Pugh (In re Pugh)*, 157 B.R. 898, 901 (BAP 9th Cir. 1993); *In re Fidelity*  
4 *Holding Co.*, 837 F.2d 696, 698 (5th Cir. 1988).

5 As set forth above, the Debtors submit that the Duplicate Claims are duplicates and should be  
6 disallowed. If any Claimant believes that a Duplicate Claim is valid and non-duplicative, it must present  
7 affirmative evidence demonstrating the validity of that claim.

#### 8 **V. RESERVATION OF RIGHTS**

9 The Debtors hereby reserve the right to object, as applicable, in the future to any of the Proofs of  
10 Claim listed in this Objection (including the Duplicate Claims and the Surviving Claims) on any ground,  
11 and to amend, modify, or supplement this Objection to the extent an objection to a claim is not granted,  
12 and to file other objections to any proofs of claims filed in these cases, including, without limitation,  
13 objections as to the amounts asserted therein, or any other claims (filed or not) against the Debtors,  
14 regardless of whether such claims are subject to this Objection. A separate notice and hearing will be  
15 scheduled for any such objections. Should the grounds of objection specified herein be overruled or  
16 withdrawn, wholly or in part, the Debtors reserve the right to object to the Duplicate Claims on any other  
17 grounds which the Debtors may discover or deem appropriate.

#### 18 **VI. NOTICE**

19 Notice of this Objection will be provided to (i) holders of the Duplicate Claims; (ii) the Office of  
20 the U.S. Trustee for Region 17 (Attn: Andrew R. Vara, Esq. and Timothy Laffredi, Esq.); (iii) counsel  
21 to the Creditors Committee; (iv) counsel to Tort Claimants Committee; (v) all counsel and parties  
22 receiving electronic notice through the Court’s electronic case filing system; (vi) the Claimants who hold  
23 the Objected-To Claims, by mail at the address(es) reflected in their filed Proofs of Claim; and (vii) those  
24 persons who have formally appeared in these Chapter 11 Cases and requested service pursuant to  
25 Bankruptcy Rule 2002. The Debtors respectfully submit that no further notice is required. No previous  
26 request for the relief sought herein has been made by the Debtors to this or any other Court.

1           WHEREFORE the Debtors respectfully request entry of an order granting (i) the relief requested  
2 herein as a sound exercise of the Debtors' business judgment and in the best interests of their estates,  
3 creditors, shareholders, and all other parties interests, and (ii) such other and further relief as the Court  
4 may deem just and appropriate.

5 Dated: May 22, 2020

**WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP**  
**KELLER BENVENUTTI KIM LLP**

By: /s/ Peter J. Benvenutti  
Peter J. Benvenutti

*Attorneys for Debtors*  
*and Debtors in Possession*